Ultrasonic testing is one of the most common methods for the non-destructive inspection of materials. One of the biggest advantages of this method is that it does not harm the human operator. Ultrasonic testing uses mechanical vibrations, in the form of ultrasonic waves, to search for internal discontinuities; the working principle is similar to the sonar technology, which was actually the predecessor to ultrasonic flaw detectors. Today, the technique is well established in the industry, having been used since the 1950s.

Ultrasonic weld testing is one of the main application fields of ultrasonic testing. Using ultrasonic flaw detectors, NDT technicians find and characterize discontinuities within the weld that might lead to material or component failure. Ultrasonic weld testing is part of weld quality control and is crucial for ensuring safety in a wide range of industries: for example, it can be instrumental in preventing plane crashes, reactor failures and oil platform explosions.

CAPABILITIES, CHALLENGES AND LIMITATIONS

Ultrasonic weld inspection is a complex process that requires qualified inspectors. Many factors have to be considered before a test can be carried out, including:

- **Physics of ultrasound**
  Waves modes, propagation, attenuation, reflection, mode conversions, etc.

- **Transducer operation, theory and characteristics**
  Piezoelectric effect, radiated field, beam spread, energy loss, etc.

- **Equipment operation**
  Pulser, receiver, data presentation, etc.

- **Ultrasonic testing methods**
  Pulse-Echo, Through Transmission, Pitch-Catch, etc.

- **Application specific requirements**
  Weld type, shape, dimensions, surface quality, etc.

- **Equipment calibration and evaluation methods**
  DAC, DGS, AWS, etc.
Ultrasonic weld testing has a number of advantages, such as:

- High sensitivity to surface and subsurface discontinuities
- Superior penetration depth compared to other NDT methods
- When using the pulse echo technique, the test object can be accessed only from one side
- High accuracy in determining the position of the discontinuity and estimating its size and shape
- Minimal part preparation
- Digital equipment provides immediate results
- Thickness measurement can be performed in addition to flaw detection

However, it also has the following limitations:

- The surface and geometry of the test specimen must allow the propagation of ultrasound through the material
- A coupling medium is required to allow the transfer of sound energy into the test object
- Materials that are rough, irregular in shape, very small, exceptionally thin or not homogeneous are difficult to inspect
- Lack of sensitivity to linear defects oriented parallel to the sound beam propagation
- Reference standards are required for equipment calibration and the characterization of discontinuities
STANDARDS

With the above requirements in mind, it is important that an NDT technician performing ultrasonic testing of welds or other materials has the necessary training and certification. The international standard (ISO 9712:2012 — Qualification and certification of NDT personnel) determines the criteria for the above. Not only the operator must be qualified to perform reliable ultrasonic weld inspection; the inspection procedure, equipment, calibration test blocks and evaluation method are also regulated by an appropriate set of standards. A selection of relevant standards for weld testing is listed below:

| ISO 17635:2016: | Non-destructive testing of welds | General rules for metallic materials |
| ISO 16810:2012: | Non-destructive testing | Ultrasonic testing | General principles |
| ISO 16811:2012: | Non-destructive testing | Ultrasonic testing | Sensitivity and range setting |
| ISO 17640:2018: | Non-destructive testing of welds | Ultrasonic testing | Techniques, testing levels, and assessment |
| EN 12668-3:2013 | Non-destructive testing | Characterization and verification of ultrasonic examination equipment – Part 3: Combined Equipment |
| ISO 2400:2012: | Non-destructive testing | Ultrasonic testing | Specification for calibration block No. 1 |
| ISO 7963:2006: | Non-destructive testing | Ultrasonic testing | Specification for calibration block No. 2 |
| ISO 5817:2014: | Welding | Fusion-welded joints in steel, nickel, titanium and their alloys (beam welding excluded) - Quality levels for imperfections |
| ISO 23279:2017: | Non-destructive testing of welds | Ultrasonic testing | Characterization of discontinuities in welds |
There is no doubt that ultrasonic weld inspection is not an easy task. To better understand this, let’s take a closer look at the procedure itself:

- What kind of welds are going to be inspected (butt joint, corner joint, lap joint, etc.)?
- What is the object and where are the welds located (pressure vessel, pipeline, and other construction)?
- What are we going to look for? (To detect and evaluate all discontinuities which need to be registered according to a quality level chosen for the task).
- A sketch must be made, on which the locations of the welds are marked. In addition, the main dimensions, material thickness and type must be stated.
- In which production phase should the test be carried out? (Usually it is performed after the welding and heat treatment process and after a visual and magnetic particle inspection has qualified the object for further inspection).
- What is the inspection coverage? How many welds are to be inspected? It is not always necessary to test 100% of the welds. Often weak spots are defined where the inspection has to be carried out.
- Is the entire volume of the weld to be inspected? Usually the testing is also expanded to cover heat affected zones. With this in mind, the maximum offset of the angle beam probe from the centre of the weld can be calculated.
- Once the maximum offset is defined, the probe movement zone has to be tested for inclusions or delamination (using a dual element probe) which may negatively influence the angle beam inspection. Sometimes this step can be skipped if the welded components (plates, pipes, etc.) have a test certificate from the manufacturer.
- Which quality class are we testing against? This has the biggest impact on the evaluation of indications in the weld. The higher the quality class, the smaller the defects which must be registered and evaluated.
- Which evaluation method is used? There are three basic evaluation methods used in industry: DGS, DAC and AWS.
There are different types of discontinuities:

- Point indications
- Large indications
- Longitudinal indications
- Transverse indications

and the most typical welding defects are:

- Incomplete penetration
- Root leakage
- Lack of fusion
- Undercut
- Overlap
- Slag inclusion
- Porosity
- Crack
1. The evaluation method and **reference level** for each type of probe used in the test must be defined. This selection is closely related to quality class.

   Example: a dual element probe DAC evaluation method is required where the reference is a 3mm flat bottom hole and for angle beam probe DAC evaluation 3mm side drilled hole.

2. The next step is defining the **registration level**. Above this level all indications have to be registered and evaluated (in the formal test report).

   Example: angle beam probes: all indications where the amplitude is higher than -6dB from the reference DAC curve of a 3mm side-drilled hole have to be registered and evaluated. Indications below this level will not be reported.
3. In addition to the registration level, the evaluation level has to be defined. This is the level above which all indications must be evaluated, but not necessarily reported. Evaluation frequently leads to the conclusion that the indications are too small to be registered in the report. Above the evaluation level the operator has to take care to evaluate the amplitude and make sure it doesn’t exceed the registration level.

Example: angle beam probes: all indications for which the amplitude is higher than -10dB from the reference DAC curve of a 3mm side drilled hole have to be evaluated.

The amplitude is below the evaluation level. Nothing has to be reported.

The amplitude is within the evaluation level. The indication does not have to be reported but has to be evaluated by the operator.

The amplitude is within the registration level. The indication has to be evaluated and reported.

The amplitude is above the reference level. Indications above the reference level are usually not accepted.
PROCEDURE

EXAMINATION EQUIPMENT

1. A digital ultrasonic flaw detector (e.g. SONOSCREEN ST10, SONO-FD 1 or SONOWALL 70 with flaw detector upgrade) is required. The gage should be capable of transmitting and receiving ultrasonic pulses and displaying them in full-rectified form (A-Scan). Angle beam probes supporting automatic trigonometric calculations and DAC, DGS or AWS software are the absolute minimum for weld inspection. It is crucial that the device is calibrated and manufactured in accordance to the EN-12668-1 standard. In most branches, the equipment used for formal weld inspection must be calibrated against this standard at least once per year.

2. Ultrasonic probes: dual element straight beam probes (e.g. TS and TL series), angle beam probes (e.g. WS, WM and WL series) - The probe selection depends strongly on the material being inspected; its geometry, weld type, thickness, the minimum defect size to be detected and many other factors must be considered. To simplify the probe selection process, a general rule of thumb is to use 4MHz probes for a thickness range from 8-50mm and 2MHz for 50mm and above. For proper inspection, two angles must be used. For thinner welds up to 20mm, angles of 70° and 60° are recommended. For thicker objects, 45° and 60° are preferred.

EXAMINATION SKETCH

3. The next step is to draw the examination sketch. This should clearly display the probe movement zone, the part of the weld covered by the inspection, and the point where the ultrasonic beam is introduced to the part. On the tested object, the zero point of the measurement should be permanently set and marked on the sketch. It must be possible to exactly reproduce the test procedure based on the report made after test completion.

COUPLANT

4. Usually this is a water-based gel, oil, grease or wallpaper glue. It is very important that the same couplant is used throughout the whole testing procedure (i.e. calibration, estimation of transfer losses, sensitivity adjustment and testing).
PROCEDURE

PERFORMING THE INSPECTION

Surface preparation

First, a visual inspection of the weld and the surrounding material must be conducted, in order to determine if the surface is appropriate for ultrasonic testing. There may be weld spatters or other obstacles which could restrict probe movement; these should be removed prior to testing. Furthermore, the weld geometry should be inspected for possible root leakages or crown overlays as this will deliver geometry indications.

Equipment preparation

Before testing with angle beam probes, the beam index point and actual refracted angle has to be identified.

1. The Beam Index Point is the point where the center of the beam exits the wedge of the probe. This point is a zero point for all trigonometric distance and depth measurements. It can be estimated using calibration block K1\V1.

2. The verification of a probe-refracted angle is important for the correct distance and depth measurements and can also be performed with a K1\V1 block.

3. The next step is to perform distance calibration. This procedure depends on the probe selection and can be done with a K1 or K2 block. In order to perform a proper calibration, the thickness of the block should be greater than the probe width. Distance calibration is required to obtain the precise speed of sound inside the material and the probe delay for accurate distance measurements. The preferred calibration type is a two-point calibration, where both of these factors are calculated at the same time.

4. At the end, a test sensitivity adjustment has to be performed. This involves selecting the appropriate evaluation method and creating the evaluation curve on the device display. The DAC method requires a specially prepared calibration block of the same material, usually with side drilled holes at different depths (to achieve high accuracy, the depth of the holes should cover the range of actual testing). DGS and AWS do not require additional blocks because the curve computation is done empirically.
PROCEDURE

PERFORMING THE INSPECTION

Testing the weld

1. To cover 100% of the weld volume, the angle beam probe has to be moved back and forth perpendicular to the weld axis, preferably from both sides.

2. To ensure the detection of transverse discontinuities, it is recommended to move the probe back and forth at a 90° and 45° angle to the weld axis.

3. Classification of discontinuities: indications should be evaluated according to their envelope. First, the maximum amplitude due to the indication must be found. If the amplitude drops steadily to zero in all directions when the probe is moved away from the indication, this means that the discontinuity is smaller than the ultrasonic beam from the probe. If the amplitude does not drop to zero and remains within a -6dB dynamic range, it means that the indication is bigger than the probe beam size.

False indications occur frequently: these are due to mode converted waves, arising from the object geometry, and must be correctly evaluated and ignored. This is the most difficult part in the whole process of ultrasonic testing.
4. Acceptance criteria: These criteria are defined in the standard for each quality class. To illustrate this, let’s consider the following example:

As seen in the above graph, the acceptance level is equal to the reference level (DAC curve level) as long as the length of the indication is less than the material thickness. For indications which are longer than the material thickness, the acceptance level is 6dB below the reference level (equal to the registration level). This is because long defects are a bigger threat to any construction than point defects and must therefore be evaluated with a lower acceptance level.

5. During inspection, those indications which are not accepted must be permanently marked.

6. Before finishing and leaving the inspection site, couplant and other test residues have to be removed.
PROCEDURE

WRITING THE TEST REPORT

The final phase of the process is to write the test report. The whole testing procedure must be well documented and described. A typical report should contain:

- All necessary information to identify the welds that were inspected
- Unambiguous test results
- Any additional requirements agreed between contractors
- All details necessary to reproduce the whole testing procedure

*This report represents a suggestion how to perform an ultrasonic inspection. All operators should be qualified according to the required standard. All information provided is without legal engagement.
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With currently over 170 employees, SONOTEC GmbH is an international growing company. The company has established itself on the worldwide NDT market with UT products developed and made in Germany.
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